# Exhibit 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	Γ	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR		
FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.		
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	11 Civ
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., et al.	:	(DLC)
Defendants.	:	
FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	x :	
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	11 Civ
HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS, INC., et	:	(DLC)
al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	
	x	
FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:	
Plaintiff	:	
vs.	:	11 Civ
BARCLAYS BANK PLC, et al.,	:	(DLC)
Defendants.	:	
Videotaped Deposition of Ashley Dyson, Vol		2

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 3 of 10

### ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

1		x
2	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
3	Plaintiff,	:
4	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6192
5	DEUTSCHE BANK AG, et al.,	: (DLC)
6	Defendants.	:
7		x
8	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
9	Plaintiff,	:
10	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6193
11	FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP., et al.,	: (DLC)
12	Defendants.	:
13		x
14	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
15	Plaintiff,	:
16	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6195
17	BANK OF AMERICA CORP., et al.	:
18	Defendants.	:
19		x
20	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
21	Plaintiff,	:
22	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6198
23	GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO., et al.,	: (DLC)
24	Defendants.	:
25		x

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 4 of 10

### ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

1		x
2	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
3	Plaintiff,	:
4	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6200
5	CREDIT SUISSE HOLDINGS (USA), INC.,	: (DLC)
6	et al.,	:
7	Defendants.	:
8		x
9	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
10	Plaintiff,	:
11	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6201
12	NOMURA HOLDING AMERICA, INC., et al.,	: (DLC)
13	Defendants.	:
14		x
15	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
16	Plaintiff,	:
17	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6202
18	MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC., et al.,	: (DLC)
19	Defendants.	:
20		x
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 5 of 10

### ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

1		x
2	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
3	Plaintiff,	:
4	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6203
5	SG AMERICAS, INC., et al.,	: (DLC)
6	Defendants.	:
7		x
8	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY, etc.,	:
9	Plaintiff,	:
10	vs.	: 11 Civ. 6739
11	MORGAN STANLEY, et al.,	: (DLC)
12	Defendants.	:
13		x
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 6 of 10

### ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRIC	I COURT
2	DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT	
3		x
4	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY,	:
5	etc.,	:
6	Plaintiff,	:
7	vs.	: Case No.
8	THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP	: 3:11-cv-01383-AWT
9	PLC, et al.,	:
10	Defendants.	:
11		x
12		
13		
14		
15	Volume II	
16	Videotaped Deposition of As	shley Dyson
17	Washington, D.C.	
18	September 12, 2013	3
19	9:11 a.m.	
20		
21		
22		
23	Job No. 238478	
24	Pages 401 - 414	
25	Reported by: Bonnie L. Russo	

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 7 of 10

ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

	1436 100
1	Held at the offices of:
2	
3	
4	Williams & Connolly, LLP
5	725 12th Street, N.W.
6	Washington, D.C.
7	
8	
9	
10	Pursuant to Notice, before Bonnie L. Russo, Court
11	Reporter, and Notary public in and for the District of
12	Columbia.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 8 of 10

ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

1	ASHLEY DYSON	
2	What analysis would have been done	16:36:59
3	between the time that you were identified as	16:37:01
4	the lead trader to responding to the dealer as	16:37:04
5	to which loans were to be included in the pool?	16:37:09
6	A. Simply, we would have sent this over	16:37:13
7	to our our bulk data group on the singling	16:37:19
8	side that would have scored the the loans	16:37:26
9	for housing goals, and we would have gotten	16:37:29
10	those results back, and then I would have	16:37:32
11	carved out a a group that I would have liked	16:37:39
12	to have then worked on to analyze for purchase.	16:37:47
13	Q. And what considerations would you	16:37:53
14	use in doing a carveout?	16:37:56
15	MR. COREY: Objection. Form.	16:38:00
16	THE WITNESS: Again, this by no	16:38:01
17	means insinuates that this is the actual final	16:38:09
18	pool of loans that went into the deal that we	16:38:13
19	purchased. To me, looking back now, without	16:38:16
20	remembering exactly what happened, I would say	16:38:22
21	that we would have just scored it. I would	16:38:25
22	have determined which loans I would have liked	16:38:37
23	to have seen a a collateral stratification	16:38:37
24	on and then that's why I would have initiated	16:38:39
25	this e-mail.	16:38:42

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 9 of 10

ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

		1
1	ASHLEY DYSON	
2	BY MR. CONLON:	16:38:42
3	Q. And the scoring that you're you	16:38:42
4	are referring to, is that scoring for	16:38:44
5	compliance and HUD goals?	16:38:46
6	A. That includes housing goals scoring,	16:38:49
7	yes.	16:38:53
8	Q. I would like to show you a document	16:38:54
9	we have marked as 10043.	16:38:56
10	(Deposition Exhibit No. 10043 was	16:38:59
11	marked for identification.)	16:38:59
12	BY MR. CONLON:	16:39:01
13	Q. This is a document that bears Bates	16:39:02
14	number FHFA 04379540 through 42.	16:39:08
15	Can you identify this document for	16:39:13
16	me?	16:39:15
17	A. This appears to be a trade ticket	16:39:15
18	indicating the date that we would have executed	16:39:26
19	the trade.	16:39:32
20	Q. And does this trade ticket indicate	16:39:33
21	that you're the trader on the trade?	16:39:36
22	A. Yes, it does.	16:39:38
23	Q. There is some handwriting in the	16:39:40
24	bottom left corner, L plus 14.	16:39:41
25	Is that your handwriting?	16:39:43

# Case 1:11-cv-06201-DLC Document 886-5 Filed 10/29/14 Page 10 of 10

ASHLEY DYSON - 9/12/2013

1	ASHLEY DYSON	
2	A. Yes, it is.	16:39:44
3	Q. And do you know what that L plus 14	16:39:46
4	refers to?	16:39:48
5	A. Yes.	16:39:49
6	Q. What is that?	16:39:49
7	A. That means LIBOR plus 14.	16:39:50
8	Q. Okay. If you turn to the next page,	16:39:54
9	there's some additional handwriting.	16:39:55
10	Is that your handwriting?	16:39:59
11	A. Yes.	16:40:03
12	Q. You see there's a box in the top	16:40:04
13	left corner that says: "First Franklin: Comp	16:40:07
14	to others," and then there's a one and two next	16:40:11
15	to it.	16:40:13
16	Do you understand what that means?	16:40:13
17	A. It appears as though I may be making	16:40:16
18	a comparison to other deals, but I am not	16:40:30
19	certain what those are.	16:40:35
20	Q. You're comparing this deal or you're	16:40:36
21	comparing First Franklin to other deals?	16:40:38
22	A. I I don't remember. I'm not sure	16:40:41
23	what I meant by that.	16:40:43
24	Q. Then there's a higher FICO with 654	16:40:45
25	in parens.	16:40:49